

# US bank turmoil: FAQ and investment implications

UBS House View - **CIO Alert**

Mark Haefele, Global Wealth Management Chief Investment Officer, UBS AG

## What's happened so far?

Silicon Valley Bank, a midsize bank with a heavy presence in Silicon Valley, announced that it would need to sell a large portfolio of US Treasuries at a significant loss seemingly to cover deposit outflows. Pressure on the technology sector was driving reduced deposit balances among the bank's clients, while higher US bond yields had contributed to mark-to-market losses on its asset portfolios.

Efforts over the weekend to find a buyer for SVB were unsuccessful, and late on Sunday the US Treasury, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Federal Reserve announced they would guarantee all deposits at the bank and ensure access to all funds this week. They also announced they would take control of similarly troubled New York-based lender Signature Bank and guarantee all of those deposits.

In an attempt to prevent wider contagion, the Fed announced a new Bank Term Funding Program (BTFP), offering banks the possibility to take loans of up to one year against Treasuries and other collateral. Importantly, the collateral will be accepted at face value (instead of at market prices), allowing banks to meet deposit withdrawals without being forced to immediately sell securities portfolios at a loss.

## Could this happen to other US banks?

Certain aspects of the Silicon Valley Bank situation were unique. It had the highest ratio of securities to total assets of any US bank; a far higher-than-average proportion of its depositors were corporate clients in the technology sector; and its uninsured deposit mix was one of the highest in the industry. That made it especially vulnerable both to deposit outflows and to mark-to-market losses when it attempted to meet those outflows.

However, to some extent, the fundamental challenge faced by Silicon Valley Bank is also faced by other banks. Most banks invest customer deposits into mortgages, government securities, and loans held on the balance sheet. Over the past year, the fair market values of mortgages and government securities have fallen as a result of higher interest rates.

---

This report has been prepared by UBS AG. Please see important disclaimers and disclosures at the end of the document.

This isn't necessarily problematic: Bank portfolios are made up of high-quality securities, which, if held to maturity, are highly likely to be paid back in full. However, if banks are forced to sell assets prior to maturity, there is a risk of loss, as we saw with Silicon Valley Bank. As has long been known, banks are also fundamentally vulnerable to depositor panic, as we saw with Signature Bank over the weekend.

The Fed's Bank Term Funding Program will provide banks with the funding needed to meet deposit outflows without needing to sell securities immediately. By only providing funding for one year, banks are still faced with a potential duration mismatch between their securities and their liabilities, and the Fed's program is no substitute for maintaining a robust depositor base. But the facility does give the banks more time to generate additional capital to help fund any demands from depositors, and it's also possible that the facility could be extended, if needed, to ensure banks have sufficient liquidity.

From here, in order to minimize the risk of deposit outflows, many smaller banks may be forced to further increase deposit rates. As demonstrated by equity market performance on Monday, this is not good for any bank's profitability, though those banks with higher capital ratios, smaller pools of securities relative to total assets, strong brands, and diversified funding sources should be better able to weather the current market dynamics.

All that said, it's important to note that from a solvency perspective, potential mark-to-market losses are far smaller than the US bank capital base. Even if we assume that the USD 620bn of unrealized losses that the FDIC estimates are held in the banking system were in fact realized, the impact on the capital ratios of the US banking system would be manageable. Silicon Valley Bank was virtually the only US bank that had close to negative equity if mark-to-market losses on securities were included. Furthermore, the Fed's liquidity facility largely eliminates the need to recognize the losses on the securities portfolio, and the decline in bond yields over the last several days will reduce the size of the unrealized losses.

### **What about other regions?**

Like in the US, European banks also own securities portfolios with unrealized losses as a result of the increase in bond yields. However, exposure to mark-to-market losses appears to be lower. Securities designated as "available for sale" are also regularly marked to market against regulatory capital requirements in Europe. And Goldman Sachs estimates the unrealized losses on hold-to-maturity securities at EUR 1 billion on average, equating to around 30bps off the average European estimated common equity Tier 1 capital ratio this year.

Even this only becomes an issue if they are forced to sell before maturity. And here the good news is that European banks generally have more diversified deposit franchises than some of the more technology-concentrated US banks.

### **What are the economic implications?**

Banks are a key conduit for transmitting central bank interest rate policy into the broader economy. In recent months, despite significant *tightening* in the US federal funds rate, financial conditions had been *easing* because risk asset

prices have rallied, while Treasury yields were off their highs from last fall. This partly explains why the US economy has been able to perform well despite higher Fed interest rates.

The events of the past few days could reverse this dynamic. Greater counterparty risk increased stress in overnight bank lending markets, while banks may tighten lending standards and be more reluctant to originate new loans in order to preserve their liquidity and profitability, as they are forced to raise rates to mitigate the risk of withdrawals. This would tighten financial conditions, which should lower economic growth in the coming quarters, as, at the margin, consumers opt to save rather than to spend, and businesses choose to forgo investment opportunities due to the higher cost of funding.

Even a change in Fed policy may not be sufficient to avoid this potential risk. The more than 100bps drop in the 2-year US Treasury yield in less than a week shows that investors are expecting much looser Fed policy than had been anticipated. Interest rate futures are now pricing a peak in the policy rate at 4.77% (down from 5.69% last Wednesday) and for the Fed to start cutting rates as early as August, with around 125bps of rate cuts priced in the subsequent 12 months. But in terms of the economic impact, it's important to remember that looser Fed policy won't necessarily mean looser financial conditions for the broader economy.

### **If the Fed pauses, what will it mean for inflation?**

It will be hard for the Fed to hike rates shortly after taking extraordinary measures to support the financial system, particularly given that the problems have been triggered in large part by higher rates. And delaying rate hikes for a few weeks would not have a material impact on the inflation outlook. So the Fed could decide to pause at next week's meeting in order to buy more time to contain financial stability risks, while using the "dot plot" to signal that it remains committed to tackling inflation.

In the longer term, much will come down to the economic impact of tighter bank funding conditions. If the US economy is pushed into recession, the Fed might need to cut rates soon, but inflation is also likely to be less of an issue if consumer demand is weakening. If growth remains relatively robust, the Fed would likely need to continue increasing interest rates to tackle inflation, while monitoring and tackling financial stability issues as they arise.

### **What are the investment implications?**

#### Equities: Diversify beyond the US

We are cautious on the outlook for US equities over the remainder of 2023. Although lower bond yields and potentially looser Fed policy may come as a partial relief for equity markets, high valuations, falling earnings estimates, an increasing chance of recession, and the risk of further unforeseen consequences of Fed tightening all diminish the attractiveness of investing in the market.

By contrast, we see opportunity in equity markets exposed to China's reopening, including emerging market equities, Chinese equities, and German equities. China's economic performance is likely to prove more robust than other regions this year. On a price-to-book (P/B) basis, the MSCI Emerging Markets index is trading at a 43% discount to developed markets

(12-month forward P/B at 1.5x versus 2.4x for MSCI World), a level historically consistent with a relative positive return over the medium term.

At a sector level, we have a least preferred view on US financials due to many of the aforementioned issues, as well as weak capital market activity, and now likely more regulatory scrutiny. We recommend investors who have above-benchmark weights in global financials (15% of the MSCI All Country World Index) to revisit their exposure. Within the sector, we prefer select universal banks, which have sold off in recent days, but which also remain well capitalized and hold sufficient liquidity to serve clients without needing to realize losses on securities portfolios. Elsewhere, we favor global energy, consumer staples, and industrials.

#### Cash: Manage liquidity as rate expectations evolve

For many investors, higher central bank interest rates have increased the appeal of holding cash in anticipation of further rate hikes. But the events of recent days show how quickly future interest rate expectations can change.

In a portfolio context, we generally recommend that investors hold no more than 3–5 years' worth of expected net portfolio withdrawals in a Liquidity\* strategy, and we believe investors' best strategy for managing liquidity is to lock in interest rates in higher-quality cash and fixed income instruments that align with the time horizon of expected portfolio withdrawals.

Such an approach can help ensure investors have sufficient funds to meet portfolio withdrawals, while not over allocating to cash at a time when interest rates could peak and start to fall.

#### Bonds: Seek high-quality income opportunities

We generally prefer the higher-quality end of the fixed income market, with a preference for high grade and investment grade bonds, though we also see opportunity in emerging market bonds.

Our exposure to credit is selective and has a defensive tilt. The defensive fixed income themes that we favor have gained strongly in response to the sharp fall in rates, and have outperformed risky bonds, where wider credit spreads have reduced the beneficial rates effect.

Investors do, however, need to be selective and active in their approach. Sharp rate hikes by central banks have increased the chances of sudden cracks appearing in the economy, with the risk of individual, weaker companies getting into trouble. Furthermore, changes in the relative prices of bonds of different maturities as markets price the future path of Fed policy speak in favor of a more active approach.

#### Bank credit

We continue to believe that the Big 6 US banks, which are considered to be globally systemically important banks, or GSIBs, will maintain strong credit profiles through this crisis of confidence affecting select US regional banks. The US GSIBs have been diversifying their businesses, including growth in fee-based areas, and have strong deposit franchises that are of smaller average size and have a lower proportion of uninsured deposits. The GSIBs are subject to stringent regulatory liquidity requirements, including a liquidity coverage ratio that requires the bank to hold liquid assets sufficient to meet cash withdrawals over a 30-day stress scenario. We also note that for the US GSIBs, mark-to-market losses in their securities portfolio are included in their

regulatory capital, meaning their robust levels of common equity Tier 1 (CET1) take the security market losses into account.

Systemically important European banks have displayed solid fundamentals throughout last year. They enjoy solid capital levels, strong asset quality, abundant liquidity, and improved profitability, boosted by higher net interest income, on the back of rising interest rates. Nevertheless, the potential negative impact from central banks' tighter monetary policy is likely to put banks' fundamentals under pressure. Asset quality deterioration has not yet materialized, though we expect the trend of improving loan performance to reverse from 2023 onwards, as corporate and household defaults start to rise. However, we do not expect any significant fallout, and the deterioration will most likely be manageable in most countries thanks to tighter lending standards.

#### Private credit

Venture capital debt deal activity has been on an upward trend over the past 10 years. In 2022, there was a significant pickup in demand as startup companies, reluctant or unable to raise capital without slashing valuations, used borrowing facilities. But, relative to overall private debt fundraising activity, VC debt represents less than 5% of fund count and about 1% of total dollar value raised.

More broadly, we continue to see private debt as a source of funding for companies as banks pull back and regroup with tighter controls. While tightened lending may create headwinds for traditional banking lenders, private credit funds are poised to capitalize on the opportunity. Flush with cash after raising record funds over the past few years, private lenders are in a solid position to deploy capital. Private debt, typically in the form of direct lending to middle-market companies with a private equity sponsor, bear floating interest rates—meaning that any interest rate hikes are passed onto the borrowers. Private credit does not respond as quickly to widening spreads as the public market does.

We continue to see private debt as attractive for investors as a diversifier from traditional fixed income. However, if existing investors are concerned about liquidity, we could see increasing redemptions in open-ended private credit funds, which would trigger gates and limit withdrawal capacity. Given the illiquid nature of private assets, investors should be aware of liquidity risks and challenges that come with private credit.

#### Currencies

The US dollar has weakened in response to lower Fed rate expectations following the recent events. In the near term, the dollar's status as a safe haven could help keep it relatively highly valued. But for the longer term, we continue to believe that the US dollar will weaken against most G10 peers and think that investors can use periods of dollar strength to reduce allocations to the currency.

Investors worried about the risk of a financial crisis can consider diversifying into traditional safe havens like the Swiss franc and gold. For those with more risk appetite, the prospect that the Fed will be tightening policy less aggressively than the European Central Bank should favor the euro. And for investors who believe that China's domestically driven consumption recovery can continue despite the events in the US banking system, we recommend the Australian dollar, where we have a most preferred view.

\*Liquidity. Longevity. Legacy. disclaimer: Timeframes may vary. Strategies are subject to individual client goals, objectives and suitability. This approach is not a promise or guarantee that wealth, or any financial results, can or will be achieved.

## Non-Traditional Assets

**Non-traditional asset classes are alternative investments that include hedge funds, private equity, real estate, and managed futures (collectively, alternative investments).** Interests of alternative investment funds are sold only to qualified investors, and only by means of offering documents that include information about the risks, performance and expenses of alternative investment funds, and which clients are urged to read carefully before subscribing and retain. An investment in an alternative investment fund is speculative and involves significant risks. Specifically, these investments (1) are not mutual funds and are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds; (2) may have performance that is volatile, and investors may lose all or a substantial amount of their investment; (3) may engage in leverage and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss; (4) are long-term, illiquid investments, there is generally no secondary market for the interests of a fund, and none is expected to develop; (5) interests of alternative investment funds typically will be illiquid and subject to restrictions on transfer; (6) may not be required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors; (7) generally involve complex tax strategies and there may be delays in distributing tax information to investors; (8) are subject to high fees, including management fees and other fees and expenses, all of which will reduce profits.

Interests in alternative investment funds are not deposits or obligations of, or guaranteed or endorsed by, any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board, or any other governmental agency. Prospective investors should understand these risks and have the financial ability and willingness to accept them for an extended period of time before making an investment in an alternative investment fund and should consider an alternative investment fund as a supplement to an overall investment program.

In addition to the risks that apply to alternative investments generally, the following are additional risks related to an investment in these strategies:

- **Hedge Fund Risk:** There are risks specifically associated with investing in hedge funds, which may include risks associated with investing in short sales, options, small-cap stocks, "junk bonds," derivatives, distressed securities, non-U.S. securities and illiquid investments.
- **Managed Futures:** There are risks specifically associated with investing in managed futures programs. For example, not all managers focus on all strategies at all times, and managed futures strategies may have material directional elements.
- **Real Estate:** There are risks specifically associated with investing in real estate products and real estate investment trusts. They involve risks associated with debt, adverse changes in general economic or local market conditions, changes in governmental, tax, real estate and zoning laws or regulations, risks associated with capital calls and, for some real estate products, the risks associated with the ability to qualify for favorable treatment under the federal tax laws.
- **Private Equity:** There are risks specifically associated with investing in private equity. Capital calls can be made on short notice, and the failure to meet capital calls can result in significant adverse consequences including, but not limited to, a total loss of investment.
- **Foreign Exchange/Currency Risk:** Investors in securities of issuers located outside of the United States should be aware that even for securities denominated in U.S. dollars, changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the issuer's "home" currency can have unexpected effects on the market value and liquidity of those securities. Those securities may also be affected by other risks (such as political, economic or regulatory changes) that may not be readily known to a U.S. investor.

## Appendix

UBS Chief Investment Office's ("CIO") investment views are prepared and published by the Global Wealth Management business of UBS Switzerland AG (regulated by FINMA in Switzerland) or its affiliates ("UBS").

The investment views have been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the **independence of investment research**.

### **Generic investment research – Risk information:**

This publication is **for your information only** and is not intended as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investment or other specific product. The analysis contained herein does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, investment strategies, financial situation and needs of any specific recipient. It is based on numerous assumptions. Different assumptions could result in materially different results. Certain services and products are subject to legal restrictions and cannot be offered worldwide on an unrestricted basis and/or may not be eligible for sale to all investors. All information and opinions expressed in this document were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy or completeness (other than disclosures relating to UBS). All information and opinions as well as any forecasts, estimates and market prices indicated are current as of the date of this report, and are subject to change without notice. Opinions expressed herein may differ or be contrary to those expressed by other business areas or divisions of UBS as a result of using different assumptions and/or criteria.

In no circumstances may this document or any of the information (including any forecast, value, index or other calculated amount ("Values")) be used for any of the following purposes (i) valuation or accounting purposes; (ii) to determine the amounts due or payable, the price or the value of any financial instrument or financial contract; or (iii) to measure the performance of any financial instrument including, without limitation, for the purpose of tracking the return or performance of any Value or of defining the asset allocation of portfolio or of computing performance fees. By receiving this document and the information you will be deemed to represent and warrant to UBS that you will not use this document or otherwise rely on any of the information for any of the above purposes. UBS and any of its directors or employees may be entitled at any time to hold long or short positions in investment instruments referred to herein, carry out transactions involving relevant investment instruments in the capacity of principal or agent, or provide any other services or have officers, who serve as directors, either to/for the issuer, the investment instrument itself or to/for any company commercially or financially affiliated to such issuers. At any time, investment decisions (including whether to buy, sell or hold securities) made by UBS and its employees may differ from or be contrary to the opinions expressed in UBS research publications. Some investments may not be readily realizable since the market in the securities is illiquid and therefore valuing the investment and identifying the risk to which you are exposed may be difficult to quantify. UBS relies on information barriers to control the flow of information contained in one or more areas within UBS, into other areas, units, divisions or affiliates of UBS. Futures and options trading is not suitable for every investor as there is a substantial risk of loss, and losses in excess of an initial investment may occur. Past performance of an investment is no guarantee for its future performance. Additional information will be made available upon request. Some investments may be subject to sudden and large falls in value and on realization you may receive back less than you invested or may be required to pay more. Changes in foreign exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the price, value or income of an investment. The analyst(s) responsible for the preparation of this report may interact with trading desk personnel, sales personnel and other constituencies for the purpose of gathering, synthesizing and interpreting market information.

Tax treatment depends on the individual circumstances and may be subject to change in the future. UBS does not provide legal or tax advice and makes no representations as to the tax treatment of assets or the investment returns thereon both in general or with reference to specific client's circumstances and needs. We are of necessity unable to take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situation and needs of our individual clients and we would recommend that you take financial and/or tax advice as to the implications (including tax) of investing in any of the products mentioned herein.

This material may not be reproduced or copies circulated without prior authority of UBS. Unless otherwise agreed in writing UBS expressly prohibits the distribution and transfer of this material to third parties for any reason. UBS accepts no liability whatsoever for any claims or lawsuits from any third parties arising from the use or distribution of this material. This report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law. For information on the ways in which CIO manages conflicts and maintains independence of its investment views and publication offering, and research and rating methodologies, please visit [www.ubs.com/research-methodology](http://www.ubs.com/research-methodology). Additional information on the relevant authors of this publication and other CIO publication(s) referenced in this report; and copies of any past reports on this topic; are available upon request from your client advisor.

Options and futures are not suitable for all investors, and trading in these instruments is considered risky and may be appropriate only for sophisticated investors. Prior to buying or selling an option, and for the complete risks relating to options, you must receive a copy of "Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options". You may read the document at <https://www.theocc.com/about/publications/character-risks.jsp> or ask your financial advisor for a copy.

Investing in structured investments involves significant risks. For a detailed discussion of the risks involved in investing in any particular structured investment, you must read the relevant offering materials for that investment. Structured investments are unsecured obligations of a particular issuer with returns linked to the performance of an underlying asset. Depending on the terms of the investment, investors could lose all or a substantial portion of their investment based on the performance of the underlying asset. Investors could also lose their entire investment if the issuer becomes insolvent. UBS does not guarantee in any way the obligations or the financial condition of any issuer or the accuracy of any financial information provided by any issuer. Structured investments are not traditional investments and investing in a structured investment is not equivalent to investing directly in the underlying asset. Structured investments may have limited or no liquidity, and investors should be prepared to hold their investment to maturity. The return of structured investments may be limited by a maximum gain, participation rate or other feature. Structured investments may include call features and, if a structured investment is called early, investors would not earn any further return and may not be able to reinvest in similar investments with similar terms. Structured investments include costs and fees which are generally embedded in the price of the investment. The tax treatment of a structured investment may be complex and may differ from a direct investment in the underlying asset. UBS and its employees do not provide tax advice. Investors should consult their own tax advisor about their own tax situation before investing in any securities.

**Important Information About Sustainable Investing Strategies:** Sustainable investing strategies aim to consider and incorporate environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into investment process and portfolio construction. Strategies across geographies and styles approach ESG analysis and incorporate the findings in a variety of ways. Incorporating ESG factors or Sustainable Investing considerations may inhibit the portfolio manager's ability to participate in certain investment opportunities that otherwise would be consistent with its investment



objective and other principal investment strategies. The returns on a portfolio consisting primarily of sustainable investments may be lower or higher than portfolios where ESG factors, exclusions, or other sustainability issues are not considered by the portfolio manager, and the investment opportunities available to such portfolios may differ. Companies may not necessarily meet high performance standards on all aspects of ESG or sustainable investing issues; there is also no guarantee that any company will meet expectations in connection with corporate responsibility, sustainability, and/or impact performance.

**External Asset Managers / External Financial Consultants:** In case this research or publication is provided to an External Asset Manager or an External Financial Consultant, UBS expressly prohibits that it is redistributed by the External Asset Manager or the External Financial Consultant and is made available to their clients and/or third parties.

**USA:** Distributed to US persons by UBS Financial Services Inc. or UBS Securities LLC, subsidiaries of UBS AG. UBS Switzerland AG, UBS Europe SE, UBS Bank, S.A., UBS Brasil Administradora de Valores Mobiliarios Ltda, UBS Asesores Mexico, S.A. de C.V., UBS SuMi TRUST Wealth Management Co., Ltd., UBS Wealth Management Israel Ltd and UBS Menkul Degerler AS are affiliates of UBS AG. **UBS Financial Services Inc. accepts responsibility for the content of a report prepared by a non-US affiliate when it distributes reports to US persons. All transactions by a US person in the securities mentioned in this report should be effected through a US-registered broker dealer affiliated with UBS, and not through a non-US affiliate. The contents of this report have not been and will not be approved by any securities or investment authority in the United States or elsewhere. UBS Financial Services Inc. is not acting as a municipal advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person within the meaning of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act (the "Municipal Advisor Rule") and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of the Municipal Advisor Rule**

For country information, please visit [ubs.com/cio-country-disclaimer-gr](https://ubs.com/cio-country-disclaimer-gr) or ask your client advisor for the full disclaimer.

Version A/2023. CIO82652744

© UBS 2023. The key symbol and UBS are among the registered and unregistered trademarks of UBS. All rights reserved.